

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

· APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/065,497	10/24/2002	Haren S. Gandhi	FCHM 0104 PUS / 9077 201-0553		
	7590 03/02/200 SHMAN P.C./FGTL	7	EXAMINER		
1000 TOWN C	ENTER	JOHNSON, JONATHAN J			
22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD	, MI 48075-1238		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50011111222	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1725		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/065,497	GANDHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jonathan Johnson	1725		

		condition conticon	1120	
<b></b> T	he MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY	FILED 29 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
this app places	oly was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or plication, applicant must timely file one of the follow the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛛 The	e period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
TW	aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 7O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).		
have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b)	time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date d is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late by earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orighter than three months after the mailing days.	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The No filing th	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comple Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMEN</u>	<u>ITS</u>			
(a) 🔯 ⊺	roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) 🖾 🗆	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	,	
	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,
6. Newly	proposed or amended claim(s) would be a owable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
how the The sta Claim(s Claim(s	rposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) e new or amended claims would be rejected is proatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: s) allowed: s) objected to:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	s) rejected: s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The aff becaus	idavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buse applicant failed to provide a showing of good arout earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered showin	idavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing d because the affidavit or other evidence failed to g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
	ffidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the considerati	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attacl	ned.
	equest for reconsideration has been considered be ontinuation sheet	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note t 13. ☐ Other	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). :	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
			79	
			Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1725

Application/Control Number: 10/065,497 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

## **CONTINUATION OF ITEM 11.**

Applicant states Sun's layered distinguishes from the claimed limitation because Sun does not teach an "entire first zone." The examiner disagrees. During patent examination, the pending claims must be "given the broadest reasonable interpretation." Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969). In the instant case, in applying the Prater test by giving the claim its broadest reasonable interpretation, the examiner interprets Sun as teaching an entire first and second zone. The mere fact that the zones are continuous does not mean that the entire first zone is positioned upstream of the second zone.

JONATHAN JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER